

Table of Contents

Dedication	3
<i>Manfred Nowak</i>	
Preface	5
List of Abbreviations.....	23
<i>Imke Steimann</i>	
The Young Legacy of the Caliphate: The Case of European Children Associated with ISIS and Their Right to Return	27
<i>Acknowledgments.....</i>	<i>29</i>
1. Introduction	30
2. Vulnerability of European Children associated with ISIS	38
3. Legal Framework.....	43
3.1. Counter-Terrorism Law	45
3.1.1. United Nations Security Council	46
3.1.2. Domestic Law in Germany, France, and the United Kingdom	49
3.2. Child Protection under International Law	50
3.2.1. International Law on Children and Armed Conflict.....	52
4. European Child Affiliates with ISIS in Iraq and Syria	58
4.1. Children in the Caliphate	59
4.2. Situation on the Ground after the Defeat of the Caliphate	61
4.2.1. Displacement camps	63
4.2.2. Arrests	64
4.2.3. Trials.....	65
4.2.4. Detentions	68
5. European Policy Responses to Foreign Terrorist Fighters	70
5.1. Threat Perception	70
5.2. Citizenship Revocation	74
5.3. International Prosecution	85
5.3.1. Prosecution in Iraq/Syria.....	86
5.3.2. International Criminal Tribunal.....	88

6. Human Rights Challenges of Return Proceedings.....	91
6.1. Consular Services	95
6.2. Identification and Citizenship Acquisition.....	97
6.3. Separation from the Family.....	99
6.4. First-Line Response upon Return.....	100
6.5. Criminal Measures.....	103
6.6. Rehabilitative and Reintegrative Measures	110
6.6.1. Child Trauma	111
6.6.2. Rehabilitation.....	113
7. Conclusion.....	120
<i>Bibliography</i>	<i>123</i>

Rozelien Van Erdeghem

Child before criminal: child offenders deprived of liberty for a long time and their right to hope	137
---	------------

<i>Acknowledgments.....</i>	<i>139</i>
-----------------------------	------------

1. Introduction	140
2. Definitions and scope research.....	145
2.1. Definitions	145
2.1.1. Child	145
2.1.2. Child offender	146
2.1.3. Criminal justice system	147
2.1.4. Deprivation of liberty	149
2.1.5. International human rights law	151
2.2. Method, aims and delineation of the research	152
3. Child offenders deprived of liberty: context	155
3.1. Children as members of a vulnerable group	155
3.1.1. Defining a vulnerable group.....	156
3.1.2. Child offenders as inherently vulnerable.....	157
3.1.3. Child offenders as members of a vulnerable group in detention	159
3.1.4. Child offenders as a diverse group of individuals	164
3.2. Setting the scene: which children are we talking about?	170
3.2.1. Minimum age of criminal responsibility	170
3.2.2. Minimum age of deprivation of liberty	173
3.2.3. The age of criminal majority.....	174
3.2.4. Children that pose a risk to public safety	175
4. The international human rights law framework concerning child offenders deprived of liberty.....	178
4.1. The framework.....	178

4.2.	The leading principles in international human rights law concerning children in the criminal justice system	182
4.2.1.	The principle of non-discrimination	182
4.2.2.	The principle of the primacy of the best interests of the child	184
4.2.3.	The principle of the right to life, survival and development	185
4.2.4.	The principles of the right to be heard and participation of the child	185
4.3.	Sentencing child offenders under the criminal justice system and their rights	185
4.3.1.	Diversion and disposition	186
4.3.2.	Guiding principles of the disposition	189
4.3.3.	Rehabilitation and reintegration as primary aims of a sentence	189
4.3.4.	Proportionality	190
4.3.5.	Least restrictive sentence possible	191
4.3.6.	Respect for the dignity of the child	194
4.3.7.	Sentences and international human rights law	194
4.4.	Children deprived of liberty and their rights	196
4.4.1.	Prohibition of torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment	197
4.4.2.	Respect for the inherent dignity of the child	198
4.5.	Child offenders receiving lengthy sentences of deprivation of liberty and international human rights law	199
4.6.	The international human rights law framework on the maximum time in detention	201
4.6.1.	International human rights law instruments	201
4.6.2.	Case law	204
4.6.3.	Secondary guidance in international human rights law	207
4.7.	International human rights law on specific sentencing practices	208
4.7.1.	Life imprisonment	210
4.7.2.	Adult sentencing	214
4.7.3.	Indeterminate sentences	216
4.8.	International human rights law applied to lengthy sentences	220
4.8.1.	What are lengthy sentences in international human rights law?	222
4.8.2.	Proportionality of lengthy sentences	223
4.8.3.	Lengthy sentences as torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment	225
4.8.4.	International human rights law and lengthy sentences	227
5.	Conclusion	229
	<i>Bibliography</i>	233

Anthony McBride

**The Extradition of Julian Assange:
A Human Rights Perspective 247**

Acknowledgments..... 249

Introduction 250

1. Theoretical Framework..... 252

1.1. Concepts 252

1.1.1. Julian Assange and WikiLeaks 252

1.1.2. Whistle-blowers and Leakers: Assange, Manning and
Snowden..... 253

1.1.3. “The Assange Case” 255

1.1.4. Media Representation of the Assange Case 255

1.1.5. The Espionage Act of 1917 256

1.1.6. The Indictment..... 257

1.2. Human Rights Theory..... 258

1.2.1. Human Rights-Based Approach 258

1.2.2. International System of Human Rights 259

1.2.3. State Obligations to Human Rights..... 259

1.2.4. Decline of the International System of Human Rights 260

1.2.5. Prohibition of Torture 262

1.2.6. United Nations Special Rapporteur on Torture 262

2. Methodology 264

2.1. Research Topic: Initial Observations and Research
Problems 264

2.2. Research Method 265

2.3. Research Questions 265

3. Background to the Extradition of Julian Assange 267

3.1. The 2010 WikiLeaks Publications..... 267

3.2. The Swedish Investigation into Allegations of Rape
and Sexual Assault..... 269

3.3. Seven Years of Asylum in the Ecuadorian Embassy 275

3.4. United States Extradition Request..... 280

**4. Analysis of the Human Rights Violations in the
Extradition of Julian Assange to the United States 284**

4.1. The Right to a Fair Trial..... 285

4.1.1. Sweden’s Violation of the Right to a Fair Trial 285

4.1.2. United Kingdom’s Violation of the Right to a Fair Trial 288

4.1.3. Ecuador’s Violation of the Right to a Fair Trial..... 292

4.1.4. United States of America’s Violation of the Right
to a Fair Trial 293

4.2. The Right to Liberty and Security 296

4.3.	Prohibition of Torture	299
4.4.	The Right to Freedom of Expression	302
4.5.	Reaction to the Human Rights Violations: US, UK, Sweden and Ecuador ignoring Obligations to Human Rights	306
5.	Analysis of why the United States of America, the United Kingdom, Sweden and Ecuador are ignoring their Obligations to Human Rights	308
5.1.	United States: Contempt for Human Rights in the “War on Terror”	309
5.1.1.	Collateral Murder	310
5.1.2.	Exposing the “War on Terror”	312
5.1.3.	Torture and Extraordinary Rendition.....	313
5.1.4.	United States of America: Review	315
5.2.	United Kingdom: A Special Relationship with the United States and complicity in the “War on Terror”	316
5.2.1.	The “Special Relationship”	316
5.2.2.	The UK and WikiLeaks	318
5.2.3.	United Kingdom: Review	320
5.3.	Sweden: A Neutral State Supporting the “War on Terror”	320
5.3.1.	Sweden and US Extraordinary Renditions.....	320
5.3.2.	Sweden and the US: Military and Intelligence Partners.....	322
5.3.3.	Swedish Political Support for US Agendas	323
5.3.4.	Sweden: Review	324
5.4.	Ecuador: Economic Dependence on the United States	324
5.4.1.	The Correa Presidency: Cutting ties with the United States	324
5.4.2.	The Moreno Presidency: Re-alignment with the United States	325
5.4.3.	Ecuador: Review	327
5.5.	“The Elephant in the Room”: Prioritising Power over Human Rights	328
5.5.1.	United States Ultimatum: “Either you are with us, or with the terrorists”	328
5.5.2.	Decline of International System of Human Rights	330
5.5.3.	Changing Perspectives: The Assange Case in Public Discourse.....	332
6.	Conclusion.....	335
	<i>Bibliography</i>	<i>338</i>

Gerda Thenner

“Living Well with Dementia” in Austria? An analysis of the Austrian Dementia Strategy in theory and practice: The role human rights play on paper and in practical dementia care..... 359

Acknowledgments..... 361

1. Introduction 362

 1.1. Problem 363

 1.2. Research Question..... 367

2. Research Design 370

 2.1. Dementia - terminology and activism..... 370

 2.1.1. Terminology..... 370

 2.1.2. Dementia activism 372

 2.2. Conceptualization of dementia 374

 2.2.1. Dementia and different models of disability 375

 2.2.2. Dementia care 380

 2.3. Methodology 384

 2.3.1. Document analysis (human rights framework)..... 384

 2.3.2. Analysis of the Austrian Dementia Strategy..... 385

 2.3.3. Empirical study (dementia care in Austria) 386

 2.4. General interdisciplinary approach 388

3. Human Rights Framework..... 390

 3.1. Awareness-raising 391

 3.2. Accessibility 393

 3.3. Health and (re)habilitation..... 394

 3.4. Independent living and participation 397

 3.5. Equal recognition before the law 401

4. Dementia situation and policy in Austria - a legal and human rights perspective 405

 4.1. Adult Protection Act 405

 4.2. Dementia care situation in Austria 408

5. Austrian Dementia Strategy 414

 5.1. Dementia Report 414

 5.2. General information 415

 5.3. Analysis of the Austrian Dementia Strategy..... 417

 5.3.1. Awareness-raising 418

 5.3.2. Accessibility 420

 5.3.3. Health and (re)habilitation..... 422

 5.3.4. Independent living and participation 425

 5.3.5. Equal recognition before the law 429

5.4.	Scottish Dementia Strategy - an excursus.....	430
5.5.	Summary of findings.....	431
6.	Dementia care in practice - empirical study.....	434
6.1.	General information.....	434
6.2.	Presentation of findings.....	436
6.2.1.	Basic human rights principles.....	437
6.2.2.	Person-centered post-diagnostic support.....	440
6.2.3.	Transfer of knowledge and experience.....	443
6.2.4.	Laws and legal instruments versus volunteerism.....	449
6.3.	Summary of findings.....	455
7.	The Austrian Dementia Strategy – “a process without political commitment”.....	457
8.	Conclusion.....	459
	<i>Bibliography.....</i>	<i>468</i>
	<i>Annexes.....</i>	<i>478</i>

Pallavi Chatterjee

“Bringing in the informal sector lens” – Human rights-based approaches in the advocacy of the Indian Self-Employed Women’s Association (SEWA) ... 483

Acknowledgements..... 485

1.	Introduction.....	486
1.1.	Background.....	486
1.2.	Problem Statement.....	489
1.3.	Research questions.....	490
2.	Theoretical Framework and Review of Literature.....	491
2.1.	Framing the objectives of a social movement as human rights norms.....	491
2.1.1.	Framing as a means of interpreting ideas.....	493
2.1.2.	Framing as applied to social movements.....	493
2.1.3.	The relevance of framing theory to human rights practice.....	494
2.2.	Bridging the paradigms of development and human rights....	495
2.2.1.	Framing economic, social and cultural rights as development goals.....	496
2.2.2.	Overcoming challenges in implementing human rights practice.....	497
2.2.3.	Framing economic and social rights as relevant to lived experience.....	498
2.3.	Gendered perspectives to development practice.....	499

Table of Contents

2.3.1. Different approaches to women's human rights in a development context	500
2.3.2. Third World Feminist Approaches contextualizing women's rights.....	503
2.3.3. India's post-colonial struggle and its impact on women's rights.....	504
2.4. 'Vernacularizing' human rights and gender equality norms.....	505
2.4.1. Framing theory as applied to vernacularizing human rights norms.....	506
2.4.2. Vernacularizing gender equality norms.....	507
2.5. Summary and conclusion	508
3. India's legal landscape	510
3.1. International human rights standards	510
3.1.1. General UN human rights standards in the Indian context.....	511
3.1.2. A case study of CEDAW within the Indian context	512
3.1.3. ILO Conventions 177, 189, and 190.....	513
3.2. The Indian national legislative landscape	517
3.2.1. Indian labour legislation analysed through a gendered lens.....	519
3.3. Specific legislation relevant to SEWA's advocacy	520
3.3.1. The Vishaka Guidelines and the POSH Act, 2013.....	520
3.3.2. The POSH Act and the Criminal Amendment Act, 2013: A quick comparison	522
3.3.3. The Street Vendors Act, 2014	524
3.4. Summary and Conclusion.....	528
4. Research Methodology.....	529
4.1. The study's basis in qualitative methods	529
4.2. Sampling and data collection.....	530
4.3. Data processing and analysis.....	530
4.4. Personal experience and limitations of the study.....	531
5. Presentation and Discussion of Results	533
5.1. An overview of SEWA's rights-based advocacy	533
5.1.1. SEWA's balanced approach to stakeholders.....	533
5.1.2. Bridging development and gender perspectives to rights-based advocacy.....	536
5.2. Accountability practices in SEWA's rights-based advocacy	537
5.2.1. Frame resonance – 'They're residents. They're voters. Just do your job.'	539
5.2.2. Frame-making – Extending laws, ensuring visibility	540
5.2.3. Frame-bridging – Strategic Partnerships	543
5.3. Participatory practices within SEWA's rights-based advocacy	544

5.3.1. Frame-making – Individual and collective identities as workers	545
5.3.2. Frame resonance – Intersectional and equalizing approaches to unity	549
5.3.3. Frame-bridging – Institutional support paving the way for improved capacities	551
5.4. Normative standards and SEWA's advocacy	553
5.4.1. Frame-making – Protective standards within SEWA's VAW projects	554
5.4.2. Frame-bridging – SEWA's transnational activism	555
5.4.3. Frame resonance – Human rights norms across different audiences	557
6. Conclusion.....	561
6.1. Summary of answers to research questions	561
6.2. Empowering local actors towards organic, bottom-up change.....	563
6.3. The importance of rights-awareness across different stakeholders	564
6.4. The instrumentalized reality of human rights practice.....	564
6.5. Final statement	565
<i>Bibliography</i>	<i>567</i>
<i>Annexes</i>	<i>574</i>
 <i>Elisabeth Mayer</i>	
Dis-ordering womanhood – The role of gender in preventive detention in Austria.....	577
<i>Acknowledgments.....</i>	<i>579</i>
1. Introduction	580
1.1. Research interest	580
1.2. Methodology and structure	582
2. Theoretical and conceptual framework	584
2.1. The construction of “mentally abnormal offenders” and gender	584
2.1.1. Deconstructing mental disorders	584
2.1.2. The interlinkage of mental disorders, dangerousness and legal responsibility	587
2.1.3. The formation of gender	589
2.2. Sociological perspectives on the criminal justice system	590
2.2.1. The purpose of criminal law and closed institutions.....	591
2.2.2. The “nature” of total institutions	593
2.2.3. Failure and success of the penal system.....	594

3. Analysis: The gender dimension of detention	596
3.1. Gender specific patterns and experiences.....	596
3.1.1. Looking for reasons why women commit crimes	596
3.1.2. The role of gender in sentencing	599
3.1.3. Gender-specific forms of social control.....	600
3.1.4. Gender-specific functions of detention	600
3.1.5. Gender-specific experience of detention	601
3.1.6. Applicability to preventive detention	603
3.2. Gender-specific treatment of detainees in theory and practice	604
3.2.1. International standards by the United Nations	604
3.2.2. Regional standards by the Council of Europe.....	607
3.2.3. National Standards by the Austrian Ministry of Justice	609
3.2.4. Gender-specific accommodation	610
3.3. Concluding remarks.....	613
4. Preventive detention in Austria: the case of Asten correctional facility	615
4.1. Contextualisation: legal, institutional and socio-political framework.....	615
4.1.1. Legal framework	615
4.1.2. Institutional framework.....	616
4.1.3. Socio-political framework.....	620
4.1.4. Asten correctional facility	621
4.2. The research process	624
4.3. Findings	625
4.3.1. Challenges when working with female clients.....	625
4.3.2. Specific accommodation and treatment conditions.....	627
4.3.3. Interpersonal aspects	630
4.3.4. Relation to the outside world	632
4.3.5. Sexuality, body and self-awareness	634
4.3.6. Explaining female deviance	635
5. Discussion	638
5.1. Being a woman in preventive detention	638
5.2. Conditions and treatment of female clients in Asten	644
5.3. Concluding remarks.....	651
6. Conclusion.....	652
<i>Bibliography</i>	<i>657</i>
<i>Annexes</i>	<i>663</i>

Salomé Persyn

The same justice for all? Approaches to land rights in the South African transitional justice process.....	665
<i>Acknowledgments.....</i>	<i>667</i>
1. Introduction	668
2. Methodology	671
2.1. Research design.....	671
2.1.1. Choice of qualitative design.....	671
2.1.2. Case study design	672
2.2. Data generation method	673
2.2.1. Sampling approach.....	673
2.2.2. Interview structure	673
2.2.3. Ethical considerations.....	674
2.2.4. Tools and materials used.....	675
2.3. Data analysis method	675
2.4. Limitations and quality criteria	676
3. State of the art: transitional justice’s disinterest with socioeconomic rights.....	678
3.1. The right to remedy and redress.....	678
3.2. Characterizing transitional justice	679
3.2.1. Definitional challenges and the ever-growing range of transitional justice mechanisms	680
3.2.2. History of transitional justice	681
3.2.3. Aims of transitional justice and normative foundations	682
3.2.4. Developments and achievements.....	682
3.2.5. Criticisms	683
3.3. Transitional justice and economic, social, and cultural (ESC) rights: two estranged fields?	686
3.3.1. Reasons for the neglect of economic, social, and cultural rights in transitional justice processes.....	686
3.3.2. The interlinkages between transitional justice and neoliberalism	687
3.3.3. Calls for a more holistic approach	690
3.3.4. Controversies and challenges	691
4. Theoretical and conceptual framework	693
4.1. The “justice” in transitional justice processes: the need for a nuanced understanding	693
4.1.1. From forms of justice in transition... ..	694
4.1.2. ...to transformative justice	696
4.1.3. Conceptual framework.....	697
4.2. Conceptualizing land rights.....	698
5. Case study: South Africa	702

Table of Contents

5.1.	Human rights violations: from the colonization to the apartheid ..	702
5.2.	The “elite-driven” transitional justice process in South Africa ...	704
5.3.	Land rights: the bone of contention	707
5.3.1.	Constitutional framework	708
5.3.2.	Measures taken and policies pursued	708
5.3.3.	Outcomes and pitfalls	709
5.3.4.	Latest developments	710
6.	Empirical Examination.....	715
6.1.	Respondent information.....	715
6.2.	Human rights violations	716
6.3.	General discussion of the transitional justice process	716
6.4.	Land reform	717
6.4.1.	Expectations	717
6.4.2.	Appraisal of actual impact.....	718
6.5.	Appraisal of transitional justice measures.....	723
6.5.1.	Reparative justice	723
6.5.2.	Restorative justice	724
6.5.3.	Civic/political justice.....	725
6.5.4.	Socioeconomic justice	725
6.5.5.	Other types of measures	727
7.	Lessons learned	728
8.	Discussion and interpretation of findings.....	730
8.1.	Insights on land rights and land issues	730
8.1.1.	The centrality of the land question	730
8.1.2.	The need for strong laws and institutions	730
8.1.3.	Policy questions.....	731
8.2.	Critical reflections on transitional justice	732
8.2.1.	The special temporality of transitional justice	732
8.2.2.	Top-down or bottom-up? Local, national, or global?.....	733
8.2.3.	What policy areas should transitional justice touch upon?	734
8.2.4.	The role of politics in transitional justice	734
8.2.5.	The role of the state.....	735
8.2.6.	In transitional justice, economy matters.....	735
8.3.	The “justice” in transitional justice.....	736
8.3.1.	The multifaceted understandings of justice.....	736
8.3.2.	The passage of time and the move away from mainstream liberal thought	737
8.4.	Scope, limitations, and avenues for future research	738
9.	Conclusion.....	739
	<i>Bibliography</i>	745
	<i>Annexes</i>	756
	Biographies of Authors	761